

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/762,188	02/05/2001	Tomokazu Nagano	2520-0120P	1286
2292 75	590 08/27/2002			
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			BUNNER, BRIDGET E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	
			DATE MAILED: 08/27/2002	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

V		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/762,188	NAGANO ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Bridget E. Bunner	1647		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover si	neet with the correspondence address		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however y within the statutory minimu will apply and will expire SIX , cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 A	A <i>pril</i> 2001 .			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fina			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application	ı.			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement			
	on Papers	ļ			
9) 🔲 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10) 🔲 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) 🔲 7	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	-			
a) 15)∐ A	☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application	has been received.		
Attachment					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:		
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 6		

Application/Control Number: 09/762,188 Page 2

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-15, drawn to a preparation containing hepatocyte growth factor.

Group II, claim(s) 16-29, drawn to a method of treating or preventing ischemic disease or arterial disease in a patient comprising administering to the patient a composition comprising hepatocyte growth factor.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

This PCT rule defines special technical features as technical features that identify a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over prior art. Claims 1-15 are anticipated by prior art. US patent 6,133,231 describes a preparation containing hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) as an active ingredient. This patent discloses the administration of HGF to rabbits and the measurement of capillary density. Therefore, claim 1 lacks a special technical feature and cannot share one with the other claims.

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- A. ischemic disease
- B. arterial disease

Art Unit: 1647

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The special technical feature of (A) is ischemic disease. This special technical feature is not shared by any of the other species.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/762,188

Art Unit: 1647

Page 4

If Applicant elects Group I-II, one species from the disease group must also be chosen to be fully responsive.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bridget E. Bunner whose telephone number is (703) 305-7148. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on (703) 308-4623. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 872-9305.

Hary J.- King

BEB Art Unit 1647

August 26, 2002